

Message

From: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0641D449FE4E4444971B9F695B74F0B8-SARA HISEL-MCCOY]
Sent: 3/6/2017 1:05:30 AM
To: Fleisig, Erica [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=57317ce4c3234afe86380beab9c70bd9-EFleisig]
CC: Buffo, Corey [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d5112a95233948d18e8eff97d1d9c7f0-Buffo, Corey]
Subject: Re: For Sara FW: WA Toxic Criteria and AWB Petitions

Thanks so much, Erica. Yes, I agree. We should totally include the Mike discussion on Thursday.

Thanks, Sara

Standards and Health Protection Division

On Mar 5, 2017, at 6:57 PM, Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov> wrote:

Sara,

I put in your OD notes a blurb about the FL HHC petition litigation, and how DOJ and OGC are advising

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5 Below, in relation to the NWEA aquatic life (and some HHC) petition in Washington, OGC and DOJ are thinking a **Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5** We can discuss more at the SHPD staff meeting, but maybe it makes sense to raise both of these with Mike during your Thursday 3/9 biweekly, if possible? OGC is also advising **Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5**

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5

-Erica

From: Berol, David

Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 3:35 PM

To: Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov>; Chung, Angela <Chung.Angela@epa.gov>; Szelag, Matthew <Szelag.Matthew@epa.gov>; Guzzo, Lindsay <Guzzo.Lindsay@epa.gov>; Szalay, Endre <Szalay.Endre@epa.gov>; Steiner-Riley, Cara <Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>; Fidis, Alexander <Fidis.Alexander@epa.gov>; Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>; Ford, Peter <Ford.Peter@epa.gov>

Cc: Schroer, Lee <schroer.lee@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: WA Toxic Criteria and AWB Petitions

Attorney-Client Privileged; Deliberative

All –

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5

David Berol

U.S. EPA Office of General Counsel
202-564-6873
berol.david@epa.gov

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Crk, Tanja

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:59 AM

To: Crk, Tanja; Chung, Angela; Szelag, Matthew; Guzzo, Lindsay; Szalay, Endre; Steiner-Riley, Cara; Fleisig, Erica; Fidis, Alexander; Buffo, Corey; Berol, David; Ford, Peter

Cc: Schroer, Lee

Subject: WA Toxic Criteria and AWB Petitions

When: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 1:00 PM-2:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: HQ-Room-WJCW-6124-50pp

Dial in: Personal Privacy / Ex. 6 Code Personal Privacy / Ex. 6

Note that this is an EPA (internal) meeting for staff and BCs to discuss two petitions: 1) the complaint by NWEA regarding EPAs response (or failure to respond) to the NWEA petition to update the WQC for toxics in

WA and includes a no action reference on arsenic, dioxin, and thallium; and 2) the AWB petition to withdraw the federal rule in WA.

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Attorney Work Product Ex. 5

Agenda

1. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Discuss the WA petition from NWEA

NWEA petition response.pdf

Response to NWEA May 2016. Is there an email record of sending this petition response to Nina?



2. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Discuss the AWB petition

